Senate Vote: Hann Amendment

The final voting record from the Minnesota Senate for the Hann Amendment that sought to reinstate the 5-year waiting period on wolf hunting and trapping in an effort to stop the hunt. While the votes on the Game and Fish Bill in the House and the Senate involved other complicated issues besides the wolf hunt, the Hann Amendment vote reflects how senators actually feel about the wolf hunt, and their perception of the value of wolves to Minnesota.

Voting for this amendment in the affirmative was voting against a wolf hunting and trapping season. Unfortunately, an amendment to reinstate the 5-year waiting period was never introduced in the Minnesota House of Representatives.

See how your senator voted and ask them about their position on hunting and trapping wolves. If this is an important issue to you, let them know.

		E OF MINNESOTA SENATE D12 SESSION	AMENDMENT A- Hann
DATE • 4/23/201	2		LEG. DAY • 107
FILE NO HF 21	71		SEQ. NO. • 008
The question	n was taken on the ad	option of the amend	ment.
The roll was	s called, and there w	ere yeas 26 and nay	s 40, as follows:
Those who vo	ted in the affirmati	ve were:	
Bonoff	Goodwin	McGuire	Sheran
Cohen	Hann	Metzen	Sieben
Daley	Harrington	Nelson	Torres Ray
Dibble	Hayden	Nienow	Wiger
Dziedzic	Higgins	Pappas	Wolf
Eaton	Latz	Rest	norr
Gerlach	Marty	Senjem	
Those who vo	ted in the negative	were:	
Bakk.	Hall	Lillie	Pederson
Benson	Hoffman	Limmer	Robling
Brown	Howe	Lourey	Rosen
CarJLson	Ingebrigtsen	Magnus	Saxhaug
Chamberlain	Jungbauer	Michel	Skoe
Dahms	Kelash	Miller	Sparks
Danins	77 1	Newman	Stumpf
DeKruif	Koch	and ministers	
DeKruif	Koenen	Olson	Thompson
DeKruif Fischbach Gazelka			Thompson Tomassoni

The motion did not prevail. So the amendment was not adopted.